



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/618,165

07/17/2000

Jae Beom Choi

8733.039.20

8415

30827

7590

12/06/2010

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER

CHWASZ, JADE R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2872

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/06/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/618,165	Applicant(s) CHOI ET AL.	
	Examiner JADE R. CHWASZ	Art Unit 2872	

All Participants:

(1) Jade R. Chwasz.

(2) Valerie Hayes.

Date of Interview: 1 December 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: *N/A.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner Chwasz requested clarification to the amendment found on page 3 of the amendments dated 7/17/2000. The amendment recited: "for Specification page 13 line 1, change 'the' (second occurrence)." Attorney Hayes clarified that the amendment should have instead recited: "for Specification page 13, line 1 delete 'the' (second occurrence)" such that the first sentence of page 13 reads: "Therefore, one-directional movement reduces the number of processes..."

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 9:00 AM

/Jade R Chwasz/
Examiner, Art Unit 2872

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)